

Acknowledgements

The Augusta Regional Transportation Study (ARTS) and its member municipalities appreciate the efforts of the stakeholders who participated in the development of this Plan. Their creativity, energy, and commitment to the future of the ARTS region are the driving force behind this planning effort. ARTS, Aiken County, and the City of Aiken provided funding for this effort and all member counties, including Augusta-Richmond County, Columbia County, and Edgefield County, provided staff time. The following citizens, staff, and other agency and organization members contributed to the development of the ARTS Regional Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan.

Local Project Steering Committee

- Aiken Bicycle Club
- · Aiken City Parks, Recreation & Tourism
- · Aiken County Planning & Development
- · Aiken County Recreation
- Aiken County Schools
- Aiken Public Safety
- Aiken Sidewalk Appreciation Society
- Aiken Vocational Rehab
- Aiken's Mom Club
- Andy Jordan's Bicycle Warehouse
- Augusta Public Transit
- Augusta Sports Council
- Augusta State University
- Augusta Striders
- Augusta-Richmond County Board of Education
- Augusta-Richmond County Planning Commission
- Augusta-Richmond County Planning Commission

- Augusta-Richmond County Recreation, Parks & Facilities
- Augusta-Richmond County Traffic Engineering
- Columbia County Construction & Maintenance
- Columbia County Planning & Engineering
- Columbia County Recreation Department
- Columbia County Schools
- Columbia County Traffic Engineering
- CSRA Regional Commission
- GDOT
- Healthy Augusta
- Lower Savannah Council of Governments
- Outspoken Bicyclists
- Randonneurs USA
- SC Department of Public Safety
- SC Highway Patrol
- SCDOT
- Wheel Movement

Consultant Team









Table of Contents

I. Introduction

- a. Background
- b. Setting
- c. The 6 E's
- d. The Value of Walkable and Bicycle-Friendly Communities
- e. Summary of Existing Documents

II. Vision Goals and Objectives

III. Existing Conditions

- a. Overview
- Bicycle and Walk Friendly Community Assessments
- c. Engineering Assessment
- d. Policy Review

IV. Quantitative User Needs Analysis

- a. Suitability Analysis
- b. Bicycle and Pedestrian Demand and Benefits Analysis
- c. Bicyclist and Pedestrian Counts
- d. Bicycle and Pedestrian Crash Analysis

V. Qualitative User Needs Analysis

- a. Public Involvement Plan
- Key Findings of the Needs Assessment Phase
- c. Summary of Survey Results
- d. Summary of Focus Group Comments
- e. Summary of Public Workshop Activities

VI. Bicycle and Pedestrian Program Recommendations

- a. Introduction
- b. Encouragement
- c. Education
- d. Enforcement
- e. Evaluation & Policy

VII. Engineering: Bikeway/Walkway and Trail System Recommendations

- a. Introduction
- b. Recommended Walkway Network
- c. Recommended Bikeway Network

VIII. Prioritization and Implementation

- a. Introduction
- b. BFC and WFC Action Plans
- c. Infrastructure Improvement Prioritzation
- d. Priority Projects and Cost Opinions
- e. Priority Project Description Sheets

IX. Appendices

- a. Complete Background Document and Legislative Review
- b. Complete Policy Matrix
- c. BFC and WFC Applications
- d. Complete Public Involvement Plan and Results
- e. Design Guidelines
- f. Potential Funding Sources
- g. Technical Analysis Maps